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Global Mobility of Engineers

• Movement of Global Engineering Professionals who are capable of Independent Practices

• Examples of Understanding/Agreements for Mobility of Engineering Professionals:
   ASEAN Chartered Professional Engineers Register
   EMF
   APEC Engineers Register
Engineer Register

Your gateway to trade liberalisation and professional services
The *Engineers Mobility Forum* agreement (commenced 2001) is a multi-national agreement between engineering organisations in the member jurisdictions which creates the framework for the establishment of an international standard of competence for professional engineering, and then empowers each member organization to establish a section of the International Professional Engineers Register.
Mobility Forums

The *APEC Engineer Agreement* is an agreement in place between a number of APEC countries for the purposes of recognising “substantial equivalence” of professional competence in engineering. APEC economies can apply to become members of the agreement by demonstrating that they have in place systems which allow the competence of engineers to be assessed to the agreed international standard set by the APEC Engineer agreement.
The *Engineering Technologist Mobility Forum* (commenced 2001) establishes and maintains an ETMF International Register of Engineering Technologists, and is intended to provide a framework for the recognition of experienced practising engineering technologists by the responsible bodies in each of the signatory economies.
For Mobility, We need Mutual Recognition and thus Accreditation

- International Benchmarking and External Recognition of Quality
- For Further Improvement by Faculty
- Give Assurance and Confidence to:
  - Prospective students
  - Graduates
  - Prospective employers
  - Graduate schools
  - Licensing agencies
  - Governments
Global Development: Different Systems & Different Needs Around the World
Major Engineering Accreditation Agreements

- European Network for Accreditation of Engineering Education (ENAEED)
- Regional Accreditation Activities:
  - Union Panamericana de Asociaciones de Ingenieros (UPADI)
  - Federation of Engineering Institutions of Asia and the Pacific (FEIAP)
  - Caribbean Accreditation Council for Engineering Technology (CACET)
International Agreements

Other agreements covering mutual recognition in respect of tertiary-level qualifications in engineering:

- The *Sydney Accord* commenced in 2001 and recognises substantial equivalence in the accreditation of qualifications in engineering technology, normally of three years duration.

- The *Dublin Accord* commenced in 2002 is an agreement for substantial equivalence in the accreditation of tertiary qualifications in technician engineering, normally of two years duration. It commenced in 2002.
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Accreditation Agency

- Representatives of engineering community
- Statutory Power or Recognised Professional Authority
- Independent for Autonomous Responsibility for its Operation and Accreditation Decisions
- Accreditation Criteria
- Training of Assessors
- Internal Quality Assurance Procedures
- Mandatory Cyclical External Review (5-6 years)
Accreditation Criteria

(a) Education Environment

- Organisational and Management Structure
- Faculty and Support Staff Profile
- Academic Leadership and Education Culture
- Curriculum (content, coverage of core material etc)
- Facilities and Physical Resources
- Funding Model
- Strategic Management of Student Profile
Accreditation Criteria

(b) Programme Design, Structure, Content and Assessment Processes

- Programme Objectives
- Educational Outcomes
- Mapping of Learning Design and Assessment Processes
- Compliance with Structural or Discipline Requirements
- Tracking Individual Student Performance against Graduate Outcomes
- Exposure of Students to Professional Engineering Practices
Accreditation Criteria

(c) Quality Systems

• Quality Policy
• Engagement with External Constituencies
• Feedback and Stakeholder Input for Continuous Improvement
• Processes for Setting and Reviewing of Objectives and Outcomes
• Approach to Education Design and Review
• Approach to Assessment
• Benchmarking Practices
• Governance Processes and Structure
• Student Administration System
Process Map

Constituents requirements (Develop objectives)

Curriculum, Staff & Facilities  Teaching & Learning  Graduates with Outcomes

Constituents satisfaction (Achieving objectives)

Continual Improvement
Examples of Programme Outcomes Expected by FEIAP 1/3

- Apply knowledge of mathematics, science, engineering fundamentals to solve complex engineering problems
- Identify, formulate, do research and analyse complex engineering problems reaching conclusions based on principles of engineering sciences
- Design solutions for complex engineering problems and design systems, components or processes that meet specified needs with appropriate consideration for public health and safety, and in harmony with environment
Examples of Programme Outcomes 2/3

• Conduct investigations of complex problems using scientific approach such as design of experiments, analysis and interpretation of data, and synthesis of information to provide sound conclusions

• Create, select and apply appropriate techniques, resources, and modern engineering and IT tools to complex engineering activities, with an understanding of the limitations

• Apply reasoning and logics to assess societal, health, safety, legal and cultural issues and the consequent responsibilities relevant to professional engineering practice

• Uphold professional ethics and responsibilities and norms of engineering practice
Examples of Programme Outcomes Expected 3/3

• Understand the impact of engineering solutions in societal and environmental contexts and demonstrate knowledge of and need for sustainable development

• Communicate effectively on engineering activities with the engineering community and with society at large, such as being able to comprehend and write effective reports and design documentation, make effective presentations

• Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of engineering and management principles and apply these to manage projects in multidisciplinary environment

• Engage in independent and life-long learning for professional development
Mentoring System of FEIAP

More established Member Economies are appointed as Mentors to help to:

• Set up Accreditation System for Mentee Economy including Accreditation Agency

• Train Assessors

• Observers in Accreditation

• Advice on Improvement for Full Compliance

Examples: Papua New Guinea and Myanmar
SETI Education for Public Good

- What is good for the Development of the Country?
- What is good for the Industry in the Country?
- What is good for one country/region may not be suitable for another country/region in terms of human resource requirement.
- It is important that the Scientists, Engineers and Technologists must contribute towards economic growth of the country and be able to support the industry.

Be Trend Setters, Be Catalysts of Change
SETI Education Philosophy

• Morality & Professionalism
• Knowledge and Intellect
• Physical and Mental Health
• Sociality and Humanitarianism
• Aesthetics and Harmony
• Creativity and Innovation

Nurturing Competitive Human Resource
Low Interest in S&T Education

Chart A4.3. Distribution of new entrants into tertiary programmes, by field of education (2009)

*Only those fields in which more than 20% of students entered a tertiary programme in 2009 are shown in the graph below.*
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1. Excludes advanced research programmes.
2. Excludes tertiary-type B programmes.

Countries are ranked in descending order of new entrants in social sciences, business and law programmes in 2009.


StatLink: http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932460135
Interest in Science & Engineering is Declining (US)

Source: NSF, US.

% of S&E degrees awarded

Total no. of degrees awarded

Source: NSF, US.
What We could DO Collectively:

- Back-to-School
- Allow Creativity and Innovativeness
- Focus on Basic Concepts and Applications
- Improve Image of SETI Knowledge Workers
- Speak Up – Be Trend Setters
- Go Global – Human Networking
Concluding Remarks 1/3

ACCREDITATION is for continuous IMPROVEMENT of DELIVERY of Education for producing HUMAN RESOURCE needed for national development
Challenges

- Buy-in by University and all Stakeholders
- International Collaboration
- Fair Play and Not dominated by a few Economies
- Recognition by Licencing Board and Industry
- Assisting Up-and-Coming Economies
- South-south Cooperation
Concluding Remarks 3/3

Challenges

• The Process may appear to be Tedious, but certainly Rewarding
• Continuous Improvement
• Giving Confidence to the Education System
• Up-lifting of Engineering Profession
• Increase Student No. in SETI Career Path
The Hare and the Tortoise

Episode 1:

Slow and Steady Wins the Race
The Hare and the Tortoise

Episode 2:

Fast and Consistency will always beat Slow and Steady
Identify our Core Competency or Strength and then Change the Playing Field to suit our core competency
Even though we are Individually Brilliant and have our own Core Competencies, we would achieve Greater Performance if we are able to Pool Resources, Work in a Team and harness each other’s core competencies.
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